UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,223	03/27/2006	Tibor Somogyi	21.1140	5019
23718 7590 08/05/2008 SCHLUMBERGER OILFIELD SERVICES 200 GILLINGHAM LANE MD 200-9 SUGAR LAND, TX 77478			EXAMINER	
			BEACH, THOMAS A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3671	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/595,223	SOMOGYI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	THOMAS A. BEACH	3671
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO (136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 M 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under M	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	wn from consideration.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	~	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/595,223 Page 2

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Deans et al 20040262008. Deans shows a sub-sea controller (28) and method located under the sea level for managing at plurality of tools in a sub-sea well installation, the sub-sea controller (28) having a downloading means [0038 & 0042] to download an application module to the sub-sea controller (28); and a virtual machine [0044] to execute the downloaded application module.

As concerns claims 2 and 9, Deans shows the sub-sea controller (28) with a native application implemented within the sub-sea controller; and a native interface (58) [0024, 0025, 0034, 0045, 0049, 0050, 0060, & 0064-0066] implemented within the sub-sea controller, the native interface enabling the application module to access the native application.

As concerns claims 3 and 10, Deans shows the native interface (58) enables the native application to access the application module [0024, 0025, 0034, 0045, 0049, 0050, 0060, & 0064-0066].

Application/Control Number: 10/595,223 Page 3

Art Unit: 3671

As concerns claims 4 and 12, Deans shows a native memory wherein the native application is executed; and a defined memory (RAM) wherein the application module (206) is executed, the defined memory being distinct from the native memory [0024, 0025, 0034, 0045, 0049, 0050, 0060, & 0064-0066].

As concerns claim 5, Deans shows a protection register, the protection register authorizing an access to the native application only if a key code (protocal) is written hereinto; accessing means to access the protection register from the application module.

As concerns claims 6 and 13, Deans shows the application module contains a driver for a tool [0024, 0025, 0034, 0045, 0049, 0050, 0060, & 0064-0066].

As concerns claim 7, Deans shows a sub-sea well installation having the sub-sea controller (fig 1)

As concerns claim 11, Deans shows the downloading and the executing of the application module [0024, 0025, 0034, 0045, 0049, 0050, 0060, & 0064-0066] are performed without interrupting an executing of the native application of the sub-sea controller (28).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-3, 6-11, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dean 6,422,315 in view of Marsh et al 20020159439. Dean shows a sub-sea controller and method of updating software of a sub-sea controller (190) located under the sea level for managing at plurality of tools in a sub-sea well installation, the sub-sea controller (190) having a downloading means (124, col 5, lines 63+) to download an application module to the sub-sea controller (190); but does not show a virtual machine to execute the downloaded application module. However, Marsh shows a similar controller having downloading means a virtual machine 74 to execute the downloaded application module (fig 4).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Dean, as taught by Marsh, to include a virtual machine for the expected result of redundancy during downloading as know by utilizing a virtual machine and be able to run multiple OS environments that can co-exist on the same computer, thus operating multiple tools etcs, thereby improving the userabilty of the system.

As concerns claims 2-3 and 9-10, the combination shows the sub-sea controller (Dean 190) with a native application (Marsh, fig 4) implemented within the sub-sea controller; and a native interface (Marsh, fig 4) implemented within the sub-sea controller, the native interface enabling the application module to access the native application (Marsh, fig 5) and the native interface (58) enables the native application to access the application module (Marsh, figs 4-5).

As concerns claim 6 and 13, the combination shows the application module contains a driver capable for a tool (Marsh, fig 5, 28).

As concerns claim 7, the combination shows a sub-sea well installation having the sub-sea controller (Dean, fig 1)

As concerns claim 11, the combination show the downloading and the executing of the application module (Marsh JVM, 74, fig 4) are performed without interrupting an executing of the native application of the sub-sea controller (Dean 190).

- 5. Claims 4 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dean 6,422,315 and Marsh et al 20020159439 in view of Holiday, Jr. 6,202,208. The combination does not specifically disclose native memory wherein the native application is executed; and a defined memory wherein the application module is executed, the defined memory being distinct from the native memory. However, Holiday discloses JVM that specifically discloses a native memory (102) wherein the native application is executed; and a defined memory (106) wherein the application module is executed, the defined memory being distinct from the native memory. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination, as taught by Holiday, to include separate memories for the expected result of a JVM to function it is required, thus obvious.
- 6. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dean 6,422,315 and Marsh et al 20020159439 in view of Rice, III 20020174010.

.As concerns claim 5, the combination does not show a protection register, the protection register authorizing an access to the native application only if a key code is

Art Unit: 3671

written hereinto; accessing means to access the protection register from the application module. However, Rice shows that a protection register, the protection register authorizing an access to the native application only if a key code is written hereinto; accessing means to access the protection register from the application module is known [0215 & 0216]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination, as taught by Rice, to include a key code for the expected result of improved security and functionality.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas A. Beach whose telephone number is 571.272.6988. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Will can be reached on 571.272.6998. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571.273.8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 10/595,223 Page 7

Art Unit: 3671

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas A. Beach

/Thomas A Beach/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3671

August 4, 2008

THOMAS A. BEACH Primary Examiner Group 3600